



City of Seattle

Gregory J. Nickels, Mayor

Department of Planning & Development

Diane M. Sugimura, Director

CITY OF SEATTLE ANALYSIS AND DECISION OF THE DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

Application Number: 2300890

Applicant Name: Terry Park for Foss Maritime

Address of Proposal: 660 W Ewing St

SUMMARY OF PROPOSED ACTION

Shoreline Substantial Development Permit for installation of a 280 sq. ft. spray paint booth accessory to an existing major vessel repair structure.

Seattle Municipal Code (SMC) requires the following approvals:

Shoreline Substantial Development Permit - To allow installation of an accessory use to a major vessel repair use in an Urban Industrial (UI) shoreline environment pursuant to SMC 23.60.020 and 23.60.840.

SEPA – Environmental Determination pursuant to SMC 25.05.

SEPA DETERMINATION: ☐ Exempt ☒ DNS ☐ MDNS ☐ EIS


☐ DNS with conditions

☐ DNS involving non-exempt grading or demolition or
 involving another agency with jurisdiction.

BACKGROUND DATA

Site Location

Foss Maritime is located on the southwest shore of Ship Canal. This marine retail sales and service use is bordered by W Ewing Street on the southwest, 11th Avenue W on the northwest, Ship Canal on the northeast and 6th Avenue W on the southeast. The property is within an Urban Industrial (UI) shoreline environment and an underlying General Industrial 1 zone with a 45-ft height limit (IG1 U/45' UI).



Project Description

Foss Maritime proposes to install a twelve (12) foot tall by fifteen (15) foot wide by twenty-eight (28) foot deep spray paint booth—covered by an open sided canopy measuring sixteen (16) feet tall by thirty-eight (38) feet wide by thirty-eight feet deep—northeast and adjacent to a metal warehouse near the midpoint of the property line on W Ewing Street.

Public Comments

Public notice of the project application was given on August 14, 2003. The required public comment period ended on September 12, 2003. DPD received no comments on this proposal.

ANALYSIS - SHORELINE SUBSTANTIAL DEVELOPMENT

Section 23.60.030 of the Seattle Municipal Code provides criteria for review of a shoreline substantial development permit and reads: *A substantial development permit shall be issued only when the development proposed is consistent with:*

- A. *The policies and procedures of Chapter 90.58 RCW;*
- B. *The regulations of this Chapter; and*
- C. *The provisions of Chapter 173-27 WAC*

Conditions may be attached to the approval of a permit as necessary to assure consistency of the proposed development with the Seattle Shoreline Master Program and the Shoreline Management Act.

Chapter 90.58 RCW is known as the Shoreline Management Act of 1971. It is the policy of the state to provide for the management of the shorelines of the state by planning for and fostering all reasonable and appropriate uses. This policy seeks to protect against adverse effects to the public health, the land and its vegetation and wildlife, and the waters of the state and their aquatic life, while protecting generally public rights of navigation and corollary incidental rights. Permitted uses in the shorelines shall be designed and conducted in a manner to minimize, insofar as practical, any resultant damage to the ecology and environment of the shoreline area and any interference with the public's use of the water. The proposed improvements to Foss Maritime would not adversely impact the state-wide interest of protecting the resources and ecology of the shoreline, and the improvements would provide for the continued operation of a facility that is dependent upon its location in a shoreline of the state. The subject application is consistent with the procedures outlined in RCW 90.58.

The Shoreline Management Act provides definitions and concepts, and gives primary responsibility for initiating and administering the regulatory program of the Act to local governments. The Department of Ecology is to primarily act in a supportive and review capacity, with primary emphasis on ensuring compliance with the policy and provisions of the Act. As a result of this Act, the City of Seattle adopted a local shoreline master program, codified in the Seattle Municipal Code at Chapter 23.60, that also incorporates the provisions of Chapter 173-27, WAC. Title 23 of the Municipal Code is also referred to as the Land Use and Zoning Code. Development on the shorelines of the state is not to be undertaken unless it is consistent with the policies and provisions of the Act, and with the local master program. The Act sets out procedures, such as public notice and appeal requirements, and penalties for violating its provisions which have also been set forth in the Land Use Code.

In evaluating requests for substantial development permits, the Director must determine that a proposed use meets the relevant criteria set forth in the Land Use Code. The Shoreline Goals and Policies, part of the Seattle Comprehensive Plan, and the purpose and locational criteria for each shoreline environment must be considered. A proposal must be consistent with the general development standards of section 23.60.152, the specific standards of the shoreline environment and underlying zoning designation, any applicable special approval criteria, and the development standards for specific uses.

The proposed development actions occur on land classified as a waterfront lot (SMC 23.60.924) and is located within an Urban Industrial (UI) shoreline environment. The proposed improvements are associated with a marine retail sales and services facility and as such are a permitted use in the UI shoreline environment and the underlying IG1 U/45' zone.

Shoreline Policies

All discretionary decisions in the shoreline district require consideration of the Shoreline Goals and Policies, which are part of the Seattle Comprehensive Plan's Land Use Element, and consideration of the purpose and locational criteria for each shoreline environment designation contained in SMC 23.60.220. The policies support and encourage the retention and expansion of existing water-dependent businesses and to maintain a critical mass of shipbuilding, boat building and repairs uses such as Foss Maritime (please refer to Land Use Policies L339 and L342). An area objective for this portion of the Puget Sound is to retain and encourage the important role that the Ship Canal plays in state, regional and local fisheries by reserving the area primarily for water-dependent and water-related uses. Non-water-dependent uses shall be restricted, prohibited or allowed only on a limited basis and non-water-dependent commercial, institutional and manufacturing development shall be encouraged on those areas of the Fremont Cut that do not have water access (please refer to Land Use Policies L354 2a). The purpose of the Urban Industrial (UI) environment as set forth in Section 23.60.220 C11 is to provide for efficient use of industrial shorelines by water-dependent and water-related industrial uses such as the marine retail sales and service use at Foss Maritime.

The proposed improvements to Foss Maritime would facilitate the continued and enhanced operation of an existing marine retail sales and services facility, a use supported by both the purpose of the UI shoreline environment and the policies set forth in the Land Use Element of the Comprehensive Plan. Installation of the spray paint booth will increase operational efficiency and enhance worker safety.

SMC 23.60.152 - Development Standards for all Environments

These general standards apply to all uses in the shoreline environments. They require that design and construction of all uses be conducted in an environmentally sound manner, consistent with the Shoreline Management Program and with best management practices for the specific use or activity. All shoreline development and uses are subject to the following:

- A. The location, design, construction and management of all shoreline developments and uses shall protect the quality and quantity of surface and ground water on and adjacent to the lot and shall adhere to the guidelines, policies, standards and regulations of applicable water quality management programs and regulatory agencies. Best management practices such as... fugitive dust controls and other good housekeeping measures to prevent contamination of land or water shall be required.

- B. Solid and liquid wastes and untreated effluents shall not enter any bodies of water or be discharged onto the land.
- C. Facilities, equipment and established procedures for the containment, recovery and mitigation of spilled petroleum products shall be provided at recreational marinas, commercial moorage, vessel repair facilities, marine service stations and any use regularly servicing vessels....
- D. The release of oil, chemicals or other hazardous materials onto or into the water shall be prohibited. Equipment for the transportation, storage, handling or application of such materials shall be maintained in a safe and leak proof condition. If there is evidence of leakage, the further use of such equipment shall be suspended until the deficiency has been satisfactorily corrected.
- E. All shoreline developments and uses shall minimize any increases in surface runoff, and control, treat and release surface water runoff so that receiving water quality and shore properties and features are not adversely affected. Control measures may include, but are not limited to, dikes, catchbasins or settling ponds, interceptor drains and planted buffers.
- F. All shoreline developments and uses shall utilize permeable surfacing where practicable to minimize surface water accumulation and runoff.
- G. All shoreline developments and uses shall control erosion during project construction and operation.
- H. All shoreline developments and uses shall be located, designed, constructed and managed to avoid disturbance, minimize adverse impacts and protect fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas including, but not limited to, spawning, nesting, rearing and habitat areas, commercial and recreational shellfish areas, kelp and eel grass beds, and migratory routes. Where avoidance of adverse impacts is not practicable, project mitigation measures relating the type, quantity and extent of mitigation to the protection of species and habitat functions may be approved by the Director in consultation with state resource management agencies and federally recognized tribes.
- I. All shoreline developments and uses shall be located, designed, constructed and managed to minimize interference with or adverse impacts to beneficial natural shoreline processes such as water circulation, littoral drift, sand movement, erosion and accretion.
- J. All shoreline developments and uses shall be located, designed, constructed and managed in a manner that minimizes adverse impacts to surrounding land and water uses and is compatible with the affected area.
- K. Land clearing, grading, filling and alteration of natural drainage features and landforms shall be limited to the minimum necessary for development. Surfaces cleared of vegetation and not to be developed shall be replanted. Surface drainage systems or substantial earth modifications shall be professionally designed to prevent maintenance problems or adverse impacts on shoreline features.
- L. All shoreline development shall be located, constructed and operated so as not to be a hazard to public health and safety.

- M. All development activities shall be located and designed to minimize or prevent the need for shoreline defense and stabilization measures and flood protection works such as bulkheads, other bank stabilization, landfills, levees, dikes, groins, jetties or substantial site regrades.
- N. All debris, overburden and other waste materials from construction shall be disposed of in such a way as to prevent their entry by erosion from drainage, high water or other means into any water body.
- O. Navigation channels shall be kept free of hazardous or obstructing development or uses.
- P. No pier shall extend beyond the outer harbor or pierhead line except in Lake Union where piers shall not extend beyond the Construction Limit Line as shown in the Official Land Use Map, Chapter 23.32, or except where authorized by this chapter and by the State Department of Natural Resources and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

As proposed and as conditioned below, the project complies with the above shoreline development standards. As conditioned, the short-term construction related activities should have minimal effects on migratory fish routes and do not warrant further conditioning.

The Stormwater, Grading and Drainage Control Code (SMC 22.800) places considerable emphasis on improving water quality. In conjunction with this effort DPD developed a Director's Rule 2000-16, to apply best management practices (BMPs) to prevent erosion and sedimentation from leaving construction sites or where construction will impact receiving waters. Due to the close proximity of Ship Canal, the proposed work associated with construction of the open sided canopy and installation of the spray paint booth, the potential exists for impacts to adjacent waters during construction. Therefore, approval of the substantial development permit will be conditioned to require application of construction best management practices (BMPs). Completion of the attachment to the Director's Rule and adherence to the measures outlined in the attachment shall constitute compliance with BMP measures.

SMC 23.60.840 – Development standards for the UI Environment

The proposal conforms to all of the development standards for the UI environment.

Conclusion

SMC Section 23.60.064 E provides authority for conditioning of shoreline substantial development permits as necessary to carry out the spirit and purpose of and assure compliance with the Seattle Shoreline Code, Chapter 23.60, and with RCW 90.58.020 (State policy and legislative findings).

WAC 173-27 establishes basic rules for the permit system to be adopted by local governments, pursuant to the language of RCW 90.58. It provides the framework for permits to be administered by local governments, including time requirements of permits, revisions to permits, notice of application, formats for permits, and provisions for review by the state's Department of Ecology (DOE). As the Seattle Shoreline Master Program has been approved by DOE, consistency with the criteria and procedures of SMC Chapter 23.60 is also consistency with WAC 173-27 and RCW 90.58.

Thus, as conditioned below, the proposal is consistent with the criteria for a shoreline substantial development permit and may be approved.

DECISION - SHORELINE SUBSTANTIAL DEVELOPMENT

The Shoreline Substantial Development permit is **CONDITIONALLY GRANTED** subject to the conditions listed at the end of this report.

ANALYSIS - SEPA

The applicant submitted an environmental checklist dated July 22, 2003. The information in the checklist, construction plans, information submitted by the applicant and the experience of the Department with the review of similar projects form the basis for this analysis and decision.

Construction activities could result in the following adverse impacts: emissions from construction machinery and vehicles; increased dust levels associated with grading and demolition activities; increased noise levels; occasional disruption of adjacent vehicular traffic, and small increase in traffic and parking impacts due to construction workers' vehicles. All of these impacts are minor in scope and of short duration. Several construction-related impacts are mitigated by existing City codes and ordinances (such as the Stormwater, Grading and Drainage Control code and Street Use ordinance, and mitigating measures described above pursuant to the Shoreline Master Program) applicable to the project. Since the proposal site is located in a commercial area, noise impacts would be sufficiently mitigated by the Noise Ordinance and no other measures or conditions are warranted.

CONDITIONS - SHORELINE

Prior to Issuance of the Building Permit

1. Submit a completed drainage control plan that complies with SMC 22.802.020 B2d and Director's Rule 2000-16, (Category 2) BMPs for Construction Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plans. Adherence to the measures outlined in the attachment shall mitigate erosion and sedimentation impacts to Puget Sound.

During Construction

2. The owner(s) and/or responsible party(ies) shall take care to prevent debris from entering the water during construction and to remove debris promptly if it does enter the water. Materials and construction methods shall be used which prevent toxic materials, petrochemicals and other pollutants from entering surface water during and after construction. Appropriate equipment and material for hazardous material cleanup must be kept at the site.
3. All disposed materials must be deposited in a landfill, which meets the liner and leachate standards of the Minimum Functional Standards, Chapter 173-304 WAC.

CONDITIONS - SEPA

None.

Signature: (signature on file) Date: October 30, 2003
Colin R. Vasquez, Land Use Planner
Department of Planning & Development